



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/914,110	02/05/2002	Noubar B. Afeyan	NBA-001CP	9343	
22832	7590 03/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM LLP			WEISBERGER, RICHARD C		
(FORMERL)	Y KIRKPATRICK & LOO TREET	CKHART LLP)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	/A 02109-1808	3624			
,					

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/914,110	AFEYAN, NOUBAR B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard C Weisberger	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortices to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> ,					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	յ (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	акти друшавит (г то-тос)				

Art Unit: 3624

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 1-29 are, drawn to a method of selling units of a product through formation of a virtual purchasing collective that permits consumers to buy at a price per unit competitive with the price paid by bulk purchasers of the product, or provides to consumers an opportunity to purchase a product that otherwise may not be available to them, classified in class 705, subclass 37.
- II. Claims 30 is, drawn a method of selling units of a product which permits consumers to buy at a price per unit competitive with the price paid by bulk purchasers of the product, or provides to consumers an opportunity to purchase a product which otherwise may not be available to them, classified in class 705, subclass 37.
- III. Claims 31-42 and 65-67 are a method and system for permitting prospective buyers of smaller numbers of units of a product to purchase the units at a price per unit competitive with the price paid by purchasers of larger numbers of units of the product, or for providing to prospective buyers an opportunity to purchase a product which otherwise may not be available to them, the system comprising:, classified in class, 705 subclass 37
- IV. Claims 43-48, drawn to an internet-accessible site for facilitating purchase by consumers of a small number of units of a product at a price per unit competitive with the price paid by purchasers of larger numbers of units of

Art Unit: 3624

the product, or for providing to consumers an opportunity to purchase a product which otherwise may not be available to them, the site being effective to implement the consumer's participation in a virtual purchasing collective, classified in class 705 subclass 37.

- V. Claims 49--64, drawn to an electronic brokerage method of trading securities between a third party offeror of one or more large blocks of shares of the securities and a consumer of smaller numbers of shares at a price per share to the consumer competitive with the price paid by bulk purchasers of the securities, or provides the consumer with an opportunity to purchase securities which otherwise may not be available to it, the method, classified in class 705, subclass 37.
- 1. Inventions I and II are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions of claim II has a different effect as set forth above.
- 2. Inventions I and III are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are

Art Unit: 3624

either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions of claim II has a different effect as set forth above.

- 3. Inventions I and IV are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions of claim II has a different effect as set forth above.
- 4. Inventions I and V are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions of claim II has a different effect as set forth above.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species a': species a' are drawn to methods of posting, the individual posting species

Art Unit: 3624

are described in claims 2,3,4,26, and 28. The species are independent or distinct because they have different effects. For example compare the posting contents of claims 2 and 28.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species b'; species b' are drawn to various types of "units" as describes in claims 21,22,23,24,25, and 29. The species are independent or distinct because the units are different effects. For example compare a unit of furniture and a ticket.

Art Unit: 3624

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species c': species c' are described in claims 11,12,13,14,18 and comprise various embodiments of claim 1, step d. The species are independent or distinct because each of the embodiments have different effects.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Art Unit: 3624

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species d', species d' comprise various additional mutually exclusive components and are described in claims 15,16,2, and 27. The species are independent or distinct because the components have different effects as can be immediately gleamed from their description.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3624

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Respectfully,

Richard Weisberger

571 272 6753